	Case 2:21-cv-01498-KJM-DB Documen	nt 14 Filed 10/18/21 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATE	Plaintiff,	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVOOD KHADEMI,	No. 2:21-cv-1498 KJM DB P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	SOUTH PLACER CO. JAIL, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action, has requested		
18	appointment of counsel and an investigator.		
19	The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require		
20	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490		
21	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request the		
22	voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d		
23	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).		
24	The test for exceptional circumstances requires the court to evaluate the plaintiff's		
25	likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in		
26	light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328,		
27	1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). Circumstances		
28	common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not		
		1	
I	!		

## Case 2:21-cv-01498-KJM-DB Document 14 Filed 10/18/21 Page 2 of 2 establish exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. With respect to plaintiff's request for the appointment of an investigator, the court is aware of no authority permitting it to provide plaintiff an investigator. See Murphy v. Rodriguez, No. 1:19-cv-0206 DAD EPG PC, 2020 WL 3868470, at \*1 (E.D. Cal. July 9, 2020); Georgacarakos v. Wiley, No. 07-cv-1712-MSK-MEH, 2009 WL 440934, at \*7 (D. Colo. Feb. 23, 2009) (in forma pauperis statute does not provide for the appointment of investigators). Plaintiff's request for an investigator will be denied. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motions for the appointment of counsel and for an investigator (ECF No. 13) is denied. Dated: October 15, 2021 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DB prisoner inbox/civil rights/R/khad1498.31